Crisis management comprises planning, organizing, directing and monitoring companies experiencing difficulties that directly endanger their existence and further development and its purpose is to stop negative trends by achieving a drastic change and providing the foundation for redevelopment. The short-term measures of crisis management that put an end to negative movements (survival) in the first phase, must be followed in the second phase by middle- or long-term measures of ensuring development that are mostly connected to revolutionary changes. In regard to a corporation’s situation from its endangerment or the prospects point of view, restructuring as a method of revolutionary changes can have the different roles in crisis solving, crisis prevention, accelerated development of a corporation, insolvency procedures and business and equity alliances. For many companies or even industries, the inclusion into a strategic partnership at a certain level of development is not only a strategic possibility and opportunity, but also a business necessity, as this is the only way to preserve a competitive market position and prevent the occurrence of acute crisis in the future. On the other hand, it is also possible to heal a company crisis with strategic connections and equity alliances; whereby available assets and partner companies’ capabilities are also included into the healing process, which is also in search of synergy effects. Based on the theoretical discussion and empirical findings from the author’s research, two statements that were set forth in advance were confirmed. Strategic partnerships and equity alliances are often in the function of crisis prevention and management and they are inseparably connected with revolutionary changes, as positive effects cannot be achieved otherwise.
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1. Introduction
A crisis is a short-term undesired, unfavourable and critical situation in a company (organization), directly endangering the existence and further development of this organization and is caused by an intertwined and simultaneous action of both external and internal sources ([1] [2]; cf. also [3] - [5]).

In terms of the management of the company or strategic business decision makers, crisis brings exceptional situations, which require very rapid decision-making due to the critical state of the moment, and these decisions must be as correct as possible; as usually adjustments are not possible, therefore the crisis also contains a managerial viewpoint. Emergency situations represent a situation in which it is not possible to use tried and tested routine decisions, as the company is facing these new situations (at least in this way) for the first time. The timing and decision-making pressure is in contrast with the causes and the course of the emergence of the crisis, which is usually a long-term process. The management of the company is therefore directly confronted with the characteristics of emergency situations that require a different approach by the company’s management, as opposed to normal conditions.

Crisis management comprises planning, organizing, directing and monitoring companies (organizations) experiencing difficulties that directly endanger their existence and further development and its purpose is to stop negative trends by achieving a drastic change and providing the foundation for redevelopment. It is necessary to point out that the crisis management has two fundamental tasks: stopping negative trends (short-term operation) and defining new foundations for further development (middle-term operation). Managing a crisis
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The short-term measures of crisis management that put an end to negative movements (survival) in the first phase, must be followed in the second phase by middle- or long-term measures of ensuring development that are mostly connected to revolutionary changes.

There are many researches focused on the applicable measures in crisis management or revolutionary changes on one side and strategic alliances on another side; however, only limited reports can be found treating these two concepts interlinked. In our research, therefore, we put forward several hypotheses; however, the object of this contribution includes the following hypotheses:

H1: Even the largest production companies ensure both their continuous existence and development with strategic partnership and equity alliances; the latter play a particularly important role when it comes to crisis prevention and elimination.

H2: When strategic partnerships and equity alliances act in the function of crisis prevention and elimination, an inseparable connection with the methods of revolutionary changing exists (restructuring, reengineering).

2. Entering into Strategic Partnerships in Order to Achieve the Necessary Revolutionary Changes

Causes (reasons) for the formation of many strategic partnerships in the form of strategic business relationships and capital ties lie in the increasingly intensified international competition, technological and general progress and the effects of globalization. Therefore, the purpose of strategic partnerships is maintaining and increasing the common (global) competitive edge that enables further existence and boosts the development of affiliated companies in the harsh economic conditions. However, on the basis of the connected, combined and adjusted in-depth cooperation, the overall objective (basic motive) is achieving synergy, i.e. an additional effect that each individual company would not be able to achieve on their own. The actual objectives (motives) for each individual relationship and each participant may differ.

Various authors (e.g. [6] - [15]) determine objectives quite differently in terms of terminology, although in terms of substantive viewpoint we can speak of more or less similar objectives. Some authors such as Berstein [16] and Brouthers, van Hastenburg & van den Ven [17] try to define objectives which pertain only to capital mergers. The goals for establishing relationships may be strategic for strategic partnerships; operative in the case of the realization of common tactical and implementation operations; and internal in the case of managing only internal relationships. On the one hand, the actual objectives of in-depth and more complex strategic cooperation usually pertain to solving development issues and, on the other hand, the utilization of opportunities which means that it is either a case of efforts to eliminate the deficit in available assets or optimal as well as a complementary synergetic utilization of available assets and capabilities of the participants in the relationship which, when acting as individual companies, fail to manage all the key operative areas or the return value is too low due to various reasons.

The final purpose of establishing relationships is the continued existence and accelerated development of the affiliated companies since the internal (organic) growth may be (too) slow and uncertain. Development can take place in the context of company activities, within the same industry or outside the industry. Therefore, the concept of growth can be based on an independent path where resources, growth through business relationships and capital ties (adding new capabilities, programmes, resources, etc.) and growth by integrating and diversification (takeovers of suppliers, client and delivery channel activities) are often limited. Acquisition of development potentials through a strategic business partnership and capital takeovers, as well as mergers by acquisition can therefore represent a quicker and often cheaper and less complex development path than developing own capabilities (organic growth), while speed is nowadays often of crucial importance for success in the increasingly competitive environment. Strategic partnerships therefore enable the achievement of certain advantages that cannot be obtained in any other way.

After they have formed a capital tie, the participating companies must often completely renew their operations and once again achieve compliance between the strategy and structure, strategy and management, strategy and corporate culture as well as the strategy and interests of influential participants. Renewal of a company brings about new processes, systems, strategies, programmes and structures which ensure the company to achieve a higher level of development on the basis of improved effectiveness and operational performance. The process of the business integration of the affiliated companies which will lead to a renewal of the new (merged, partner-affiliated) company can mostly be performed only through methods of revolutionary changes which also include the method of restructuring (reforming structures) and the method of reengineering (process restructuring). Restructuring and reengineering are therefore methods of revolutionary changes and also methods of achieving the reorganization of a company which are normally necessary in crisis prevention or management.

3. Content and Manifestations of a Strategic Partnership

In business practice, there is an extreme diversity of forms of business cooperation between companies that are the
Strategic business alliances (business alliances, non-equity agreements) are not based on equity, ownership (derived and joint investments. Company. In relation to types of equity alliances, there can be mergers (acquisition and merger of equals), takeovers companies, for which it is typical that they are connected with the transfer of all assets or part thereof to another participating companies. Hence, equity alliances cause a material (transfer) status transformation of the participating companies, new basic rules need to be formed, i.e. the articles of association of the newly-created legal form of the structure changes accordingly. Through capital mergers and joint investments, the transformation of connected individual owner. If individual owners sell their equity shares (transfer, alienate), then the ownership and equity includes ownership composition, whereas the size of these shares dictate the scope of managerial rights of an company by the owners in order to pursue joint interests in the determined area. The composition of equity shares also includes ownership composition, whereas the size of these shares dictate the scope of managerial rights of an individual owner. If individual owners sell their equity shares (transfer, alienate), then the ownership and equity structure changes accordingly. Through capital mergers and joint investments, the transformation of connected companies occurs in such a way that the legal (and business) status changes as well as the status of participating companies, new basic rules need to be formed, i.e. the articles of association of the newly-created legal form of the participating companies. Hence, equity alliances cause a material (transfer) status transformation of the participating companies, for which it is typical that they are connected with the transfer of all assets or part thereof to another company. In relation to types of equity alliances, there can be mergers (acquisition and merger of equals), takeovers and joint investments.
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4. Business Partnerships and Equity Alliances in the Function of Crisis Prevention and Elimination

The purpose of strategic connections today that were not created due to serious difficulties in one or even both partnership companies is the increase of joint (global) competitiveness which actually means the prevention of the occurrence of a crisis. A company that is developing relatively successfully will, at a certain level, nonetheless, start to fall behind its competitors that have merged their powers and achieved synergy effects that the "independent" company cannot. However, when a strategic business or equity connection is created in cases when one company is in a crisis (the "ugly duckling"), such a connection may bring such a company salvation from crisis. As such a case means the connection of an economically more powerful company with a weaker one, the latter cannot expect to have an equal position in connection processes, which actually represents a sacrifice, as is otherwise typical of all cases of crisis management.

Strategic business partnerships and capital transformations are therefore, important both in the period of prevention of crisis occurrence as well as in the period of crisis management. According to some research [20], in 40%-50% of cases, the key ingredient of the reversal concept is a capital merger and takeover. The purpose of a company undergoing difficulties in such cases is also renovation and recapitalization, which brings the lowering of the burden of debts into the cash flow so that it will comply with the possibilities of acquiring debt, or asset restructuring due to which their liquidity is to improve.

The reason as to why a stronger party would connect with one in an acute crisis ("ugly duckling"), facing the serious threat of a chain reaction that a crisis presents, can lie in the fact that the company in crisis has:
- a market-veriﬁed production sales or service program that it cannot properly develop and market due to the lack of all other necessary potential (ﬁnancial, personnel, technological etc.);
- suitable technology that it cannot exploit optimally as it has problems in the sales-marketing area;
- excellent team of experts that cannot demonstrate their knowledge and capabilities as the company has unsurmountable ﬁnancial or other problems;
- such ﬁxed and current assets (e.g. investments) with which the partner can achieve a signiﬁcantly larger utilisation rate and yield;
- a branched distribution network at home or abroad that it cannot maintain due to ﬁnancial difﬁculties.

In relation to this, acquisitions and takeovers are divided in two groups; one that represents opportunities (opportunity driven) and those that are directed at solving problems (problem driven) in target companies [19].

In order for a company to avoid the pitfalls that arise from the connection with a company in crisis successfully, in practice, first, pre-connection measures occur that relate to the introduction, if necessary, of very radical activities of healing in the crisis company (out-of-court settlements or compulsory settlements, divestiture, balance “cleanses”, even programmed-controlled bankruptcies etc.), or less risky methods (e.g. takeover with the purchase of ﬁxed assets).

Naturally, such a situation calls for the precise due diligence of the company that is undergoing difﬁculties and is the target of the takeover.

In accordance with the changes in the company’s environment, they continuously adjust their organization structure to the newly-created circumstances in which they can still maintain or increase the value for shareholders so that they can (often very radically) change the compositions of assets, liabilities, capital and activity. Divestitures and capital mergers are often tandem-like strategies, especially when they involve the transformation of a portfolio. A divestiture is often the ﬁrst resource for ﬁnancing capital takeovers, whereas the acquirer then often sells off some parts of the company that was taken over, which have no strategic value in its further development.

In the period 1970-2006, divestitures were present in 40% of all capital mergers and takeovers [21].

The consequence of various connections is the international concentration within the industry and service sectors (consolidation of a fragmented sector, [22]), which means that there are, when speaking in numbers, fewer and fewer players in individual sectors that are greater in their connections, while various smaller contractors cooperate with this connection (or in this connection) in one way or another (subcontractors, franchisees etc.).

Companies that are buyers of other company’s culled activities, often enter other business areas in this way or employ the diversiﬁcation strategy. Whether the diversiﬁcation costs outweigh its beneﬁts is a controversial topic where the research results and business practise vary signiﬁcantly [23] and is, after all, also implicated by many domestic cases.

5. Evidence from Slovenian Business Practice

In order to be able to determine the inclusion of strategic partnerships and equity alliances in the strategic directions of companies, 100 of the largest Slovenian companies were studied in regard to total income comparatively in the years of 2010 and 2015 (the list is published every year in several publications, e.g. [24]). Then, out of the 100 largest companies, only production companies were considered (with the exclusion of construction and energetics); there, out of 41 on the list, 26 are either 100-percent or majority-owned by companies abroad or foreign companies have significant control over their operations. The study of 26 companies that were selected this way showed that these companies could ensure even further successful development due to equity alliances as they remained among the
An important finding for this discussion was that 10 production companies out of 41 (24.4%) production companies (from the list of the largest companies), managed to prevent a imminently threatening business crisis with a strategic partnership and equity alliances, or managed to gain control over a crisis that had already begun in this way. Therefore, in these 10 cases, entering strategic partnerships and equity alliances directly functioned as crisis prevention and elimination which represents a quarter of the largest Slovenian production companies from the list of the largest companies in 2015. By investigation deeply into the strategies, structures, process and business models of these companies it can be easily found out that strategic partnerships and equity alliance were the basis for their further existence and development avoiding the threat of crisis appearance.

Regardless of the limitations of the research (while equity connections are transparent, the non-equity or contractual strategic partnerships that can take extremely diverse and complex forms, are not, e.g. [25], and represent 60% - 85% of all connections [26], it is possible to adopt the initially set hypothesis that strategic partnerships and equity alliances are often functioning within crisis prevention and management (positive correlation), even when the largest companies are concerned.

A further finding was that the studied production companies with equity alliances, in order to ensure continuous development, had to implement a set of revolutionary changes with methods of restructuring or reengineering that were, in the short-term, not detected as pleasant in the internal or in the external environment (lay-offs, cancellations of traditional programs and trademarks, divestitures, fundamental change of strategic directions or even visions etc.). This way, the other hypothesis in this contribution was adopted, namely, that allows for an inseparable connection with revolutionary changes between a strategic partnership and equity alliances when they are in the function of crisis prevention and elimination, as positive effects cannot be achieved otherwise.

In light of this research, it is particularly interesting to find that, in the 2003-2008 period, connections also emerged in the field of construction and financial holdings as a fad when it was often that excessive activity of share takeovers and share purchases took place, and also various competitions in the purchases of a certain company and other forms of capital transactions for the realization of non-business or non-economic interests, whereby the development or even urgency of coping with the crisis in one’s own company was ignored. The obsession with takeovers had negative consequences that were shown in the exhaustion of the company (the purchase of shares must be supported by appropriate resources), the shifting of focus from one’s own problems elsewhere, the redirection of developmental investments (assets are being directed to takeover activities instead of to one’s own technology, personnel and markets), and the inappropriate approach to coping with the crisis (instead of implementing fundamental internal measures, the solution to the crisis is searched for in takeovers). The management (and owners) sometimes wish to acquire control over the other, target company at all cost, and therefore opt for complex and dangerous capital adventures, despite the fact that alternative ways of achieving strategic goals would be less risky and cheaper. Such companies are now no longer on the list of the largest companies.

In the studied practice, we often came across the illusionistic reliance of a company’s management going through deep crisis believing that the situation they found themselves in would be rectified as soon as they found a “strategic partner”, and the matter will simply have to wait until then. As a strategic partner, they envisage someone that will bring them fresh cash to cover all old liabilities due and the development of new projects, ensure the latest technology and appropriate resources), the shifting of focus from one’s own problems elsewhere, the redirection of developmental investments (assets are being directed to takeover activities instead of to one’s own technology, personnel and markets), and the inappropriate approach to coping with the crisis (instead of implementing fundamental internal measures, the solution to the crisis is searched for in takeovers). The management (and owners) sometimes wish to acquire control over the other, target company at all cost, and therefore opt for complex and dangerous capital adventures, despite the fact that alternative ways of achieving strategic goals would be less risky and cheaper. Such companies are now no longer on the list of the largest companies.

6. Conclusion

A crisis is a short-term undesired, unfavourable and critical situation in a company (organization), directly endangering the existence and further development of this organization and is caused by an intertwined and simultaneous action of both external and internal sources. Crisis management comprises planning, organizing, directing and monitoring companies experiencing difficulties that directly endanger their existence and further development and its purpose is to stop negative trends by achieving a drastic change and providing the foundation for redevelopment. The short-term measures of crisis management that put a stop to negative movements (survival) in the first phase, must be followed in the second phase by middle- or long-term measures of ensuring development that are mostly connected to revolutionary changes, such as the methods of restructuring and reengineering that play a key role also in strategic partnerships and equity alliances. Based on the theoretical discussion and empirical findings from the author’s research, two statements that were set forth in advance (hypotheses) were confirmed. Strategic partnerships and equity alliances are often in the function of crisis prevention and management (in the research, 24.4% of cases among the largest production companies). There is an inseparable connection between strategic partnerships and equity alliances on one side when they are in the function of crisis prevention and elimination, and revolutionary changes on the other, as positive effects cannot be achieved otherwise. Certainly, the findings of this research cannot be valid for every comparable business situation; however, it can show one of possible strategic orientations when further existence and development are endangered. Also, on this basis further deeper researches with different focuses can be applied.
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